





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/292,444	04/15/1999	CARY LEE BATES	RO998-222	3572	
75	90 12/03/2002				
JOAN PENNINGTON			EXAMINER		
535 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE UNIT 1804 CHICAGO, IL 60611			SINGH, R	SINGH, RACHNA	
CHICAGO, IL	00011		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/292,444	BATES ET AL.	1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rachna Singh	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avignal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to places the application	o a on in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires & months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Circles	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. So R 1.136(a) and the appropount of the fee. The approportion or the fee. The approporiginally set in the final Of	. ee MPEP riate extension priate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection 	ion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ar	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Examine	er.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		JOSEPH H. FEI PRIMARY EXAM	Fell LD INER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.